# DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Environmental Assessment

## Water Quality Division Water Protection Bureau

Name of Project: Rock Creek Mine-Road Project

Type of Project: Individual permit to discharge storm water associated with construction

activity

**Location of Project**: T27N, R32W, S27; 48.062778 N, 115.698333 W

City/Town: 5 miles northeast of Noxon, MT County: Sanders

### **Description of Project:**

This is a termination of the Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MPDES) permit for the proposed RC Resources, Inc. Rock Creek Mine – Road Project that was to be located approximately 5 miles northeast of Noxon, Montana on the southern flanks of the Cabinet Mountains in Sanders County. This MPDES permit coverage was limited to storm water discharges associated with road construction.

### **Agency Action and Applicable Regulations:**

The proposed action of DEQ is to terminate the MPDES permit.

Montana Water Quality Act, MCA 75-5-101, et seq.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 2 - Water Quality Permit Application and Annual Fees.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 5 - Mixing Zones in Surface and Ground Water.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 6 - Surface Water Quality Standards.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 7 - Nondegradation of Water Quality.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapters 12 and 13 - MPDES Standards.

For the Rock Creek Mine, RC Resources, Inc. also has a Montana Air Quality Permit (#2414-03), a Montana Hard Rock Exploration License (#00663), and MPDES Permit (#MT0030287).

### **Summary of Issues:**

RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls. DEQ intends to terminate the permit pursuant to ARM 17.30.1363(1)(d).

#### **Affected Environment & Impacts of the Proposed Project:**

Y = Impacts may occur (explain under Potential Impacts). Include frequency, duration (long or short term), magnitude, and context for any significant impacts identified. Reference other permit analyses when appropriate (ex: statement of basis). Address significant impacts related to substantive issues and concerns. Identify reasonable feasible mitigation measures (before and after) where significant impacts cannot be avoided and note any irreversible or irretrievable impacts. Include background information on affected environment if necessary to discussion.

N = Not present or No Impact will likely occur. *Use negative declarations where appropriate (wetlands, T&E, Cultural Resources).* 

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT			
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND		
	MITIGATION MEASURES		
1. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are soils present which are fragile, erosive, susceptible to compaction, or unstable? Are there unusual or unstable geologic features? Are there	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
special reclamation considerations?			
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or groundwater resources present? Is there potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality?	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
3. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulate be produced? Is the project influenced by air quality regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be significantly impacted? Are any rare plants or cover types present?	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use of the area by important wildlife, birds or fish?	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are any federally listed threatened or endangered species or identified habitat present? Any wetlands? Species of special concern?	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
7. SAGE GROUSE EXECUTIVE ORDER: Is the project proposed in core, general or connectivity sage grouse habitat, as designated by the Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program (Program) at:  https://sagegrouse.mt.gov/? If yes, did the	[N] DEQ has verified the facility is not within core, general, or connectivity sage grouse habitat.		
applicant attach documentation from the Program showing compliance with Executive Order 12-2015 and the Program's recommendations? If so, attach the documentation to the EA and address the			
Program's recommendations in the permit. If project is in core, general or connectivity habitat and the applicant did not document consultation with the Program, refer the applicant to the Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program.			
8. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or paleontological resources present?	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
9. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT			
prominent topographic feature? Will it be			
visible from populated or scenic areas? Will			
there be excessive noise or light?			
10. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR			
ENERGY: Will the project use resources that			
are limited in the area? Are there other			
activities nearby that will affect the project?			
Will new or upgraded powerline or other			
energy source be needed?			
11. IMPACTS ON OTHER	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are			
there other activities nearby that will affect the			
project?			

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT			
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND		
	MITIGATION MEASURES		
12. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
Will this project add to health and safety			
risks in the area?  13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND	[N] DC Descriptions has not constructed any of the normality of outfalls		
AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or			
alter these activities?			
14. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create,			
move or eliminate jobs? If so, estimated			
number.  15. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE	DILDCD		
AND TAX REVENUES: Will the project	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
create or eliminate tax revenue?			
16. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
SERVICES: Will substantial traffic be added			
to existing roads? Will other services (fire			
protection, police, schools, etc.) be needed?	DIPOR 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
17. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
GOALS: Are there State, County, City,			
USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc. zoning or			
management plans in effect?			
18. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS			
ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational			
areas nearby or accessed through this tract? Is there recreational potential within the			
tract?			
19. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the	, ,		
project add to the population and require			
additional housing?			

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT			
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND		
	MITIGATION MEASURES		
20. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
MORES: Is some disruption of native or	[14] The resources has not constructed any of the permitted custams.		
traditional lifestyles or communities			
possible?			
21. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
DIVERSITY: Will the action cause a shift in	, I		
some unique quality of the area?			
22. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL	[N] RC Resources has not constructed any of the permitted outfalls.		
AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:	, i		
23(a). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS:	[N]		
Are we regulating the use of private property			
under a regulatory statute adopted pursuant			
to the police power of the state? (Property			
management, grants of financial assistance,			
and the exercise of the power of eminent			
domain are not within this category.) If not,			
no further analysis is required.			
23(b). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS:	[N]		
Is the agency proposing to deny the			
application or condition the approval in a			
way that restricts the use of the regulated			
person's private property? If not, no further			
analysis is required.			
23(c). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS:	[N/A]		
If the answer to 21(b) is affirmative, does the			
agency have legal discretion to impose or not			
impose the proposed restriction or discretion			
as to how the restriction will be imposed? If			
not, no further analysis is required. If so, the			
agency must determine if there are			
alternatives that would reduce, minimize or			
eliminate the restriction on the use of private			
property, and analyze such alternatives. The			
agency must disclose the potential costs of			
identified restrictions.			

- 24. Description of and Impacts of other Alternatives Considered: None.
- 25. Summary of Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impacts: None
- 26. Cumulative Effects: None.
- 27. Preferred Action Alternative and Rationale: The preferred action is to terminate MPDES permit # MT0031763. RC Resources has rescinded the renewal application and requested that the permit be allowed to expire.

# **Recommendation for Further Environmental Analysis:**

[ ] EIS	[ ] More Detailed EA	[X] No Further A	Analysis
---------	----------------------	------------------	----------

Rationale for Recommendation: An EIS is not required for the MPDES permit under the Montana Environmental Policy Act because the action to issue (renew) the permit lacks significant adverse effects to the human and physical environment.

28.	Public Involvement: A 30-day public comment period will be held.		
29.	Persons and agencies consulted in the preparation of	of this analysis: None.	
Appro	roved By:		
<u>Draft</u>	<u> </u>		
Jon K	Kenning, Chief Date		

Water Protection Bureau